

Mutual information in nonlinear communication channel. Preliminary analytical results in large SNR and small nonlinearity limit

I. S. Terekhov,^{1,2,*} A. V. Reznichenko,^{1,2,†} and S. K. Turitsyn^{2,3,‡}

¹*Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics of Siberian Branch Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, 630090 Russia*

²*Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, 630090 Russia*

³*Aston Institute of Photonics Technologies, Aston University, Aston Triangle, Birmingham, B4 7ET, UK*

(Dated: August 19, 2016)

Applying perturbation theory to the path-integral representation for the mutual information of the nonlinear communication channel described by the nonlinear Shrödinger equation (NLSE) with the additive Gaussian noise we analyze the analytical expression for the mutual information at large signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and small nonlinearity. We classify all possible corrections to the mutual information in nonlinearity parameter and demonstrate that all singular in SNR terms vanish in the final result. Furthermore our analytical result demonstrates that the corrections to Shannon's contribution to the mutual information in the leading order in SNR are of order of squared nonlinearity parameter. We outline the way for the calculation of these corrections in the further investigations.

PACS numbers: 05.10.Gg, 89.70.-a, 02.70.-c, 02.70.Rr, 05.90.+m

I. INTRODUCTION

The channel capacity is one of the central concepts of information theory that has its roots in statistical physics [1]. The capacity introduced by Shannon in his seminal work [1] gives the maximum rate at which information can be reliably transmitted through a noisy communication channel. The channel capacity (in bits per symbol) is formally defined as a maximum of the mutual information $I_{P[X]}$ over the input signal probability distribution functional (PDF) $P[X]$:

$$C = \max_{P[X]} I_{P[X]}, \quad (1)$$

under the condition of a fixed average power. The mutual information $I_{P[X]}$ (in continuous-input, and continuous-output channel) is expressed through the path-integral over input X and output Y signals:

$$I_{P[X]} = \int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}Y P[X] P[Y|X] \log \left[\frac{P[Y|X]}{P_{out}[Y]} \right], \quad (2)$$

where the output signal PDF $P_{out}[Y]$ reads

$$P_{out}[Y] = \int \mathcal{D}X P[X] P[Y|X], \quad (3)$$

with $P[Y|X]$ being the conditional probability density, that is, the probability of receiving output signal Y when the input signal is X . Both X and Y may be discrete or continuous. When X is discrete, notation integral over X

stands for the summation of an under integral function over its discrete support. Capacity in bits per symbol multiplied by the rate at which symbols are transmitted (in symbols per second) gives the error-free information transmission rate in bits per second.

The above definition (2) exemplifies that channel capacity has a close link to information entropy [1]. Mutual information is a difference between the entropy of the output signal $H[Y] = - \int \mathcal{D}Y P_{out}[Y] \log [P_{out}[Y]]$ and conditional entropy $H[Y|X] = - \int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}Y P[X] P[Y|X] \log [P[Y|X]]$ (having a meaning of a measure of the uncertainty about the output field Y if the input field X is known). When the signal and the noise are independent variables and the received signal Y is the sum of the transmitted signal X and the noise, then it can be shown explicitly that the entropy is generated during transmission in noisy channel: $H[Y] \geq H[X]$. In this case, the transmission rate is the entropy of the received signal less the entropy of the noise. The maximum of the functional (1), i.e. the channel capacity, can be calculated for such linear channels with an additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN):

$$C \propto \log(1 + \text{SNR}), \quad (4)$$

where SNR is a signal-to-noise power ratio [1].

This seminal theoretical result is the foundation of the communication theory and it has proven its importance in a number of practical applications. To some extent, the Eq. (4) worked so well in so many situations that some engineers cease to distinguish the general Shannon expression for capacity (1) and particular result for the specific linear additive white Gaussian noise channel (4). However, recent advances in fibre-optic communication where the channel is nonlinear, as opposed to the linear AWGN, changed the situation. To increase the channel

*E-mail: I.S.Terekhov@gmail.com

†E-mail: A.V.Reznichenko@inp.nsk.su

‡E-mail: s.k.turitsyn@aston.ac.uk

capacity over a certain bandwidth with a given accumulated noise of optical amplifiers, one has to increase the signal power, see (4). This works in the low SNR limit but the effect the refraction index's dependence on light intensity dramatically changes the propagation properties of the channel at higher optical signal power. In other words, the fibre-optic channel is nonlinear. Recent studies have shown that the spectral efficiency (that is, the number of bits transmitted per second per Hertz — practical characteristics having the same dimension as channel capacity) of a fibre-optic channel is limited by the Kerr nonlinearity. These studies indicated that observable spectral efficiency always turns out to be less than the Shannon limit of the corresponding linear AWGN channel (4) [2–10]. It has been observed that the spectral efficiency of the nonlinear channel decreases with increasing SNR at high enough values of SNR [2–6, 9, 10]. This analysis certainly provides only a lower bound on channel capacity and does not prove that the Shannon nonlinear fibre channel capacity is decreasing with power; see, for example, discussions in [11–14].

In general, there is a widely spread opinion that nonlinear channel capacity is always less than the capacity of the corresponding linear AWGN channel for equal SNR. However, in Ref. [15, 16] the authors note that nonlinearity can be either destructive or constructive. Moreover, in Ref. [11] it was proved that the capacity of certain nonlinear channels could not decrease with SNR. The capacity of nonlinear fibre channels is still an open problem of great practical and fundamental importance.

In this work, we estimate analytically the first nonzero correction to the mutual information of the channel described by the NLSE with additive Gaussian noise. We then calculate in the perturbation theory (at large SNR and small nonlinearity) the conditional probability density for the NLSE channel by the method developed recently in [18]. Finally, we demonstrate that all singular in SNR corrections to the mutual information are cancelled and the resulting correction is of order of squared nonlinearity parameter.

The article is organized as follows. In the next section we present the expression for the conditional probability density. Then in the presented channel model we calculate the mutual information and classify all possible correction to it at large SNR and small nonlinearity parameter. Finally, we present the main conclusions of

the paper. Details of our calculations are placed in the Supplementary Materials [21].

II. THE NONLINEAR CHANNEL MODEL AND CONDITIONAL PROBABILITY

Let us consider the propagation of the signal $\psi_\omega(z)$ in the channel modelled by the NLSE with AWGN, that we rewrite, for convenience, in the frequency domain, see [19, 20]:

$$\partial_z \psi_\omega(z) - i\beta\omega^2 \psi_\omega(z) - i\gamma \int \frac{d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3}{(2\pi)^3} \times \\ \times \delta(\omega + \omega_3 - \omega_1 - \omega_2) \psi_{\omega_1}(z) \psi_{\omega_2}(z) \bar{\psi}_{\omega_3}(z) = \eta_\omega(z), \quad (5)$$

where β is the dispersion coefficient, γ is the Kerr nonlinearity coefficient, bar means complex conjugation, $\eta_\omega(z)$ is an additive complex white noise with zero mean and the correlator $\langle \eta_\omega(z) \bar{\eta}_{\omega'}(z') \rangle_\eta = 2\pi Q \delta(z - z') \delta(\omega - \omega')$, where Q is the noise power per unit frequency per unit length [5, 19]: $P_{noise} = QLW/2\pi$, here $W/(2\pi)$ is a frequency bandwidth, and L is the channel length.

It is worth emphasizing that in a nonlinear channel, transmitted and received signal bandwidths can be different. Therefore, we assume here that in general, the input $X(\omega)$ and output $Y(\omega)$ signals may have different channel bandwidths W and W' , with $W' \supset W$.

We introduce the dimensionless parameter $\tilde{\gamma} = P_{ave} \gamma L$ which describes the impact of nonlinearity. Here the average power of the signal X reads

$$P_{ave} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int \mathcal{D}X P[X] \frac{1}{T} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} |X(\omega)|^2, \quad (6)$$

where T is the large time interval containing the whole input signal. It has been shown in Ref. [18] that for NLSE channel governed by Eq. (5) in the large SNR limit,

$$\epsilon = 1/\text{SNR} = QLW/(2\pi P_{ave}) \ll 1, \quad (7)$$

the conditional probability density $P[Y(\omega)|X(\omega)]$ to receive $\psi_\omega(L) = Y(\omega)$ given the input signal $\psi_\omega(0) = X(\omega)$ can be written as

$$P[Y(\omega)|X(\omega)] = \exp\left[-\frac{S[\Psi_\omega(z)]}{Q}\right] \int_{\tilde{\psi}_\omega(0)=0}^{\tilde{\psi}_\omega(L)=0} \mathcal{D}\tilde{\psi} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{Q} \left\{ S[\Psi_\omega(z) + \tilde{\psi}_\omega(z)] - S[\Psi_\omega(z)] \right\}\right], \quad (8)$$

$$S[\psi] = \int_0^L dz \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left| \partial_z \psi_\omega(z) - i\beta\omega^2 \psi_\omega(z) - i\gamma \int \frac{d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3}{(2\pi)^3} \delta(\omega + \omega_3 - \omega_1 - \omega_2) \psi_{\omega_1}(z) \psi_{\omega_2}(z) \bar{\psi}_{\omega_3}(z) \right|^2. \quad (9)$$

Here $\Psi_\omega(z)$ is the so-called “classical trajectory” [17] of the path-integral (8), that is, the extremum function of the action (9), i.e. $\delta S[\Psi] = 0$ — see Eq. (6) in [21], with the boundary conditions $\Psi_\omega(0) = X(\omega)$, $\Psi_\omega(L) = Y(\omega)$. At small $\tilde{\gamma}$ we can calculate $P[Y(\omega)|X(\omega)]$, Eq. (8), analytically using the perturbation theory developed in Ref. [18]. There are two types of terms in the expansion of Eq. (8) in $\tilde{\gamma}$. The first type of perturbative corrections comes from the expansion of exponent $\exp[-S[\Psi_\omega(z)]/Q]$ and has the structure

$$\exp\left[-\frac{S[\Psi_\omega(z)]}{Q}\right] \approx \exp\left[-\frac{S[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)]}{Q}\right]_{\gamma=0} \times \left(1 + \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{p,k} [\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)] \tilde{\gamma}^p \left(\frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{\epsilon}\right)^k\right). \quad (10)$$

Here $\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z) = e^{i\beta\omega^2 z} [zB(\omega)/L + X(\omega)]$ is the solution at $\gamma = 0$ of the equation $\delta S[\Psi] = 0$, see Eqs. (6) and (8) in [21], with the boundary conditions $\Psi_\omega(0) = X(\omega)$, $\Psi_\omega(L) = Y(\omega)$. Here $B(\omega) = e^{-i\beta\omega^2 L} Y(\omega) - X(\omega)$, and $\alpha_{p,k} [\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)]$ are some constructively defined functionals, see explicit expressions in [21]. The second type of corrections originates from the expansion of the path-integral in Eq. (8) and has the form

$$\Lambda_{QL}^{(M')} \left(1 + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{p,k} [\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)] \tilde{\gamma}^p (\tilde{\gamma}\epsilon)^k\right), \quad (11)$$

where $\Lambda_{QL}^{(M')} = \left(\frac{\delta}{\pi QL}\right)^{M'}$ is the normalization factor and $\gamma_{p,k} [\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)]$ are some defined functionals, see [21]. Since the parameter ϵ is assumed to be small, we can use the quasi-classical approach, and the main contribution to $P[Y|X]$ comes from the expansion of exponent Eq. (10). We substitute the function $\Psi^{(0)}$ to the right-hand side of Eq. (10), then the obtained result is multiplied by (11) leading to:

$$P[Y(\omega)|X(\omega)] \approx P^{(0)}[Y(\omega)|X(\omega)] \left(1 + \gamma_{1,0} \tilde{\gamma} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{0,k} \left(\frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{\epsilon}\right)^k + \tilde{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\alpha_{1,k} + \alpha_{0,k} \gamma_{1,0}] \left(\frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{\epsilon}\right)^k\right) + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\gamma}^2), \quad (12)$$

where

$$P^{(0)}[Y(\omega)|X(\omega)] = \Lambda_{QL}^{(M')} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{QL} \int_{W'} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} |B(\omega)|^2\right]. \quad (13)$$

In Eq. (12) we keep only leading and next-to-leading order in $\tilde{\gamma}$ terms for every order in $\tilde{\gamma}/\epsilon$. This means that the parameter $\tilde{\gamma}/\epsilon$ can be of order of unity. We omit the dependence of functionals $\alpha_{p,k}$ and $\gamma_{p,k}$ on $\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)$, but have it in mind. Now nonlinear corrections to the mutual information can be calculated.

III. CALCULATION OF THE NONLINEAR CORRECTIONS TO THE MUTUAL INFORMATION

To calculate the mutual information, we have to calculate the path-integral (2). In the previous section, we derived the expression (12) for the conditional probability function. Let us introduce a probability function of input signal $P[X(\omega)]$. In our consideration, the PDF $P[X(\omega)]$ is chosen to be Gaussian in the spectral domain W and zero in $W' \setminus W$. In discrete form $P[X(\omega)]$ reads

$$P[X(\omega)] = \Lambda_P^{(M)} \left(\prod_{i \in W}^M e^{-\frac{\delta}{P} |X_i|^2}\right) \prod_{j \in W' \setminus W}^{M'-M} \delta(X_j). \quad (14)$$

where $\delta(X_j) = \delta(\text{Re } X_j) \delta(\text{Im } X_j)$ is the δ -function, frequency domain W (W') and is divided by M (M') grid spacing $\delta = \frac{W}{2\pi M} = \frac{W'}{2\pi M'}$; $X_j = X(\omega_j)$. The coefficient $\Lambda_P^{(M)} = \left(\frac{\delta}{\pi P}\right)^M$ follows from the normalization condition $\int \mathcal{D}X P[X(\omega)] = 1$. The measure $\mathcal{D}X$ in (2), and in (3), is understood as $\mathcal{D}X = \prod_{j=1}^{M'} d\text{Re } X_j d\text{Im } X_j$. As follows from the Nyquist-Shannon-Kotelnikov theorem [22] M should be chosen greater than $TW/2\pi$: the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$ in Eq. (6) is equivalent to $M = TW/2\pi \rightarrow \infty$ in the measure. Parameter P in Eq. (14) describes the signal power per unit of frequency (power spectral density), so the average signal power (6) is $P_{ave} = PW/2\pi \gg P_{noise}$ and the nonlinearity parameter is $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma PLW/(2\pi)$.

First of all, corrections to the mutual information proportional to $\tilde{\gamma}$ vanish. These corrections to the mutual information come only from the term $\gamma_{1,0} \tilde{\gamma}$ in (12). From the explicit expression for $\gamma_{1,0}$ one can see that after integration over fields $X(\omega)$ and $Y(\omega)$ these contributions vanish as the imaginary part of the real number, see [21]. Further, all corrections of order of $(\tilde{\gamma}/\epsilon)^k$ to the mutual information are equal to zero for all $k > 0$ as well. We explain this cancellation in [21] by the counting of field $B(\omega) = e^{-i\beta\omega^2 L} Y(\omega) - X(\omega)$ after the change of integration variable from $Y(\omega)$ to $B(\omega)$ in the path-integral (2). Owing to Eq. (13) the variation scale of $B(\omega)$ in the path-integral is of order of $\sqrt{QL}$. And every field $B(\omega)$ before the exponent yields the suppression factor $\sqrt{QL}$ after the integration over $B(\omega)$. The first next-to-leading in $\tilde{\gamma}$ singular correction of order of $\tilde{\gamma}^2/\epsilon$ is equal to zero after the intricate cancellation of different terms in the mutual information expression. We present the details of this cancellation in [21]. Basing on another approach to the mutual information calculation [24] we can make a guess that all singular in $1/\epsilon$ corrections (i.e. of order of $\tilde{\gamma}^p (\tilde{\gamma}/\epsilon)^k$ for $k > 0$ and $p \geq 0$) to the mutual information should be equal to zero as well. Roughly speaking here we can use the same arguments as in the case of the leading singular corrections $(\tilde{\gamma}/\epsilon)^k$. Finally, after all cancellations we obtain the following expression for the mutual information:

$$I_{P[X]} = M \log \left[1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right] + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\gamma}^2) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (15)$$

where the first term in the right-hand side is the well-known Shannon's result (4) for the linear channel [1], and the second term is the nonlinear correction to the mutual information. As a coefficient of $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\gamma}^2)$ one has the function of dimensionless dispersion parameter $\tilde{\beta} = \beta L W^2$. This function of $\tilde{\beta}$ should be negative at least for small dispersion parameter. Indeed for a nondispersive nonlinear optical fibre channel one has the exact in nonlinearity result [23] with the negative correction:

$$I_{P[X]}^{(\beta=0)} = M \log \left[1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right] - \frac{M}{2} \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\tau} \log \left(1 + \frac{\tau^2 \tilde{\gamma}^2}{3} \right) = M \log \left[1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right] - M \frac{\tilde{\gamma}^2}{3} + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\gamma}^4). \quad (16)$$

Moreover at large dispersion parameter $\tilde{\beta}$ the function in question should be decreasing function approaching to the Shannon limit: for large dispersion parameter one can neglect the nonlinear term in NLSE (5) resulting in the linear channel, see Eq. (4). The exact calculation of the $\tilde{\beta}$ -dependence of the coefficient before $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\gamma}^2)$ in Eq. (15) is the matter of our further considerations [24].

IV. CONCLUSION

We have described the perturbative method to obtain the analytical expression for the mutual information

$I_{P[X]}$ (2) of the NLSE channel at large SNR = $1/\epsilon$ and small nonlinearity $\tilde{\gamma} \ll 1$. We have demonstrated that all singular in ϵ terms in the leading orders $(\tilde{\gamma}/\epsilon)^k$ and in the first next-to-leading order $\tilde{\gamma}^2/\epsilon$ vanish in the expression for the mutual information $I_{P[X]}$ calculated for the Gaussian PDF $P[X]$. At small nonlinearity $\tilde{\gamma}$ the first nonlinear correction to the mutual information is of order of $\tilde{\gamma}^2$, and it is negative at least for small dispersion parameter $\tilde{\beta}$ and vanishing for large $\tilde{\beta}$. Let us stress once again that we have considered only the mutual information $I_{P[X]}$ in the case of a Gaussian input signal PDF $P[X]$ rather than the channel capacity (1). However, the quantity $I_{P[X]}$ is a natural estimate of a low bound on the channel capacity since it reproduces the Shannon capacity of the linear AWGN channel (4) in the leading order in $\tilde{\gamma}$. The ultimate calculation of the corrections of order of $\tilde{\gamma}^2$ to the mutual information will be the matter of our future considerations, see [24].

Acknowledgments.

The work of I. S. Terekhov was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (RSF) (grant No. 16-11-10133). Part of the work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR), Grant No. 16-31-60031/15. A. V. Reznichenko thanks the President program (CII-2415.2015.2) for support. The work of S. K. Turitsyn was supported by the grant of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (agreement No. 14.B25.31.0003) and the EPSRC project UNLOC.

-
- [1] C. Shannon, *A mathematical theory of communication*, Bell System Techn. J., **27** (1948), 3, 379–423; **27** (1948), 4, 623–656.
 - [2] P. P. Mitra and J. B. Stark, *Nature* **411**, 1027 (2001).
 - [3] E. Desurvire, *J. Lightwave Technol.* **24**, 4697 (2006).
 - [4] R.-J. Essiambre, G. J. Foschini, G. Kramer, and P. J. Winzer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 163901 (2008).
 - [5] R.-J. Essiambre, G. Kramer, P. J. Winzer, G. J. Foschini, and B. Goebel, *J. of Lightwave Technol.* **28**, 0733, (2010).
 - [6] A. D. Ellis, J. Zhao, and D. Cotter, *J. of Lightwave Technol.* **28**, 423, (2010).
 - [7] D. J. Richardson, *Science* **330**, 327, (2010).
 - [8] R. Killey and C. Behrens, *J. Mod. Opt.* **58**, 1, (2011).
 - [9] A. D. Ellis and J. Zhao, *Impact of Nonlinearities on Fiber Optic Communications*, Springer, New York, (2011).
 - [10] A. Mecozzi, R.-J. Essiambre, *J. of Lightwave Technol.* **30**, 2011, (2012).
 - [11] E. Agrell, arXiv: 1108.0391v3.
 - [12] E. Agrell, "Nonlinear Fiber Capacity," presented at the Eur. Conf. Opt. Commun. London U.K., paper We.4.D.3 (2013).
 - [13] E. Agrell, A. Alvarado, G. Durisi, M. Karlsson, arXiv:1403.3339
 - [14] K.S. Turitsyn, S.A. Derevyanko, I.V. Yurkevich, and S.K. Turitsyn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 203901 (2003).
 - [15] K. S. Turitsyn, K. K. Turitsyn, *Opt. Lett.* **37**, 0146, (2012).
 - [16] M. A. Sorokina and S. K. Turitsyn, *Nat. Comm.* **5**, 3861 (2014)
 - [17] R. P. Feynman, A. R. Hibbs, *Quantum mechanics and path integrals*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, (1965).
 - [18] I. S. Terekhov, S. S. Vergeles, and S. K. Turitsyn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 230602 (2014).
 - [19] E. Iannoe, F. Matera, A. Mecozzi, and M. Settembre, *Nonlinear Optical Communication Networks*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1998).
 - [20] S.K. Turitsyn, S.B. Medvedev, M.P. Fedoruk, and E.G. Turitsyna, *Phys. Rev. E* **61**, 3127 (2000).
 - [21] Supplementary Materials.
 - [22] C. E. Shannon, *Communication in the presence of noise*, Proc. Institute of Radio Engineers, vol. **37**, 1, (1949). V. A. Kotelnikov, *On the capacity of the ether and wire in Telecommunications*, Proceedings of the First All-Union Congress on Technical Reconstruction of Communication. Union Energy Committee. (1933), In Russian.
 - [23] I. S. Terekhov, A. V. Reznichenko, Ya. A. Kharkov, and S. K. Turitsyn, arXiv: 1508.05774.
 - [24] I. S. Terekhov, A. V. Reznichenko, and S. K. Turitsyn, arXiv: 1607.01184.

Supplementary Materials for the article: Mutual information in nonlinear communication channel. Preliminary analytical results in large SNR and small nonlinearity limit

I. S. Terekhov,^{1,2,*} A. V. Reznichenko,^{1,2,†} and S. K. Turitsyn^{2,3,‡}

¹*Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics of Siberian Branch Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, 630090 Russia*

²*Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, 630090 Russia*

³*Aston Institute of Photonics Technologies, Aston University, Aston Triangle, Birmingham, B4 7ET, UK*

(Dated: August 19, 2016)

PACS numbers: 05.10.Gg, 89.70.-a, 02.70.-c, 02.70.Rr, 05.90.+m

CONDITIONAL PROBABILITY DENSITY FUNCTION

In Ref.[1] we have shown that in the case $1/\epsilon = \text{SNR} \gg 1$ the conditional probability density function can be written in the form:

$$P[Y(\omega)|X(\omega)] = e^{-S[\Psi_\omega(z)]/Q} \int_{\tilde{\psi}_\omega(0)=0}^{\tilde{\psi}_\omega(L)=0} \mathcal{D}\tilde{\psi} e^{-(S[\Psi_\omega(z)+\tilde{\psi}_\omega(z)]-S[\Psi_\omega(z)]) / Q}, \quad (1)$$

where the measure is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}\tilde{\psi} = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\delta}{\Delta \pi Q} \right)^{NM'} \prod_{j=1}^{M'} \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} d\text{Re}\tilde{\psi}_{i,j} d\text{Im}\tilde{\psi}_{i,j},$$

here $\tilde{\psi}_{i,j} = \tilde{\psi}_{\omega_j}(z_i)$ and $\Delta = \frac{L}{N}$ is the coordinate grid spacing, and $\delta = \frac{W}{2\pi M} = \frac{W'}{2\pi M'}$ is the frequency grid spacing. The action $S[\psi]$ reads:

$$S[\psi] = \int_0^L dz \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} |\mathcal{L}[\psi]|^2, \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}[\psi] = \mathcal{L}^{(0)}[\psi] - V[\psi], \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{(0)}[\psi] = \partial_z \psi_\omega(z) - i\beta\omega^2 \psi_\omega(z), \quad (4)$$

$$V[\psi] = i\gamma \int \frac{d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3}{(2\pi)^2} \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_3 - \omega) \psi_{\omega_1}(z) \psi_{\omega_2}(z) \bar{\psi}_{\omega_3}(z). \quad (5)$$

The function $\Psi_\omega(z)$, the “classical trajectory”, is the solution of the equation $\delta S[\Psi] = 0$, see Eq. (14) in [2]:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_z - i\beta\omega^2)^2 \Psi_\omega(z) - \\ & i\gamma \int \frac{d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3}{(2\pi)^2} \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega - \omega_3) \left\{ 4\Psi_{\omega_2}(z) \bar{\Psi}_{\omega_3}(z) [(\partial_z - i\beta\omega_1^2) \Psi_{\omega_1}(z)] - \frac{\mu}{L} \Psi_{\omega_1}(z) \Psi_{\omega_2}(z) \bar{\Psi}_{\omega_3}(z) \right\} - \\ & 3\gamma^2 \int \frac{d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_4 d\omega_5 d\omega_6}{(2\pi)^4} \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_4 - \omega_5 - \omega_6 - \omega) \Psi_{\omega_1}(z) \Psi_{\omega_2}(z) \Psi_{\omega_4}(z) \bar{\Psi}_{\omega_5}(z) \bar{\Psi}_{\omega_6}(z) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with the boundary conditions: $\Psi_\omega(0) = X(\omega)$, $\Psi_\omega(L) = Y(\omega)$, and $\mu = i\beta L(\omega^2 + \omega_3^2 - \omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2)$. The equation (6) can be solved using perturbation theory at small γ . We present the solution $\Psi_\omega(z)$ in the form:

$$\Psi_\omega(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Psi_\omega^{(k)}(z), \quad (7)$$

where $\Psi_\omega^{(k)}(z)$ is the solution of the Eq. (6) of order of γ^k . For calculations of the mutual information with the precision $\mathcal{O}(\gamma^2)$ we need only first two terms of expansion (7). The solution of Eq.(6) in the leading and next-to-leading order reads:

$$\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z) = e^{i\beta\omega^2 z} \left[\frac{z}{L} B(\omega) + X(\omega) \right], \quad (8)$$

here $B(\omega) = e^{-i\beta\omega^2 L} Y(\omega) - X(\omega)$. The first order correction reads

$$\Psi_\omega^{(1)}(z) = i\gamma e^{i\beta\omega^2 z} \int_0^L dz' G(z, z') F_\omega(z'), \quad (9)$$

where $G(z, z') = \frac{z-L}{L} z' + (z' - z)\theta(z' - z)$ is the Green function of the ∂_z^2 operator (with the boundary conditions: $\Psi_\omega^{(1)}(0) = \Psi_\omega^{(1)}(L) = 0$). In Eq. (9) we have

$$F_\omega(z) = \int_{W'} \frac{d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3}{(2\pi)^2} \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_3 - \omega) \frac{e^{-\mu z/L}}{L} \left[\frac{z}{L} B(\omega_2) + X(\omega_2) \right] \times \\ \left[\left(4 - \mu \frac{z}{L} \right) B(\omega_1) - \mu X(\omega_1) \right] \left[\frac{z}{L} \bar{B}(\omega_3) + \bar{X}(\omega_3) \right]. \quad (10)$$

The substitution of the solution $\Psi_\omega(z)$ in the form (7) to the action (2) results in

$$S[\Psi_\omega(z)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S^{(k)}[\Psi_\omega(z)], \quad (11)$$

where $S^{(k)}[\Psi_\omega(z)]$ is the term of order of γ^k of the action (2) expansion in γ . Now we can expand the exponential prefactor in Eq. (1) and obtain functions $\alpha_{p,k}[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)]$, see Ref. [2], Eq. (9). The expansion of the exponential factor has the form:

$$e^{-S[\Psi_\omega(z)]/Q} = \exp \left\{ -\frac{S^{(0)}[\Psi_\omega(z)]}{Q} \right\} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^k}{k!} \left[\frac{\partial^k}{\partial \gamma^k} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} S^{(p)}[\Psi_\omega(z)]}{Q} \right\} \right]_{\gamma=0} \right). \quad (12)$$

Therefore functions $\alpha_{0,k}[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)]$ and $\alpha_{1,k}[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)]$ have the following form:

$$\alpha_{0,k}[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)] = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \left(\frac{LW}{2\pi P_{ave}} \frac{S^{(1)}[\Psi_\omega(z)]}{\tilde{\gamma}} \right)^k, \quad (13)$$

$$\alpha_{1,k}[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)] = \frac{(-1)^k}{(k-1)!(k+1)!} \left(\frac{WL}{2\pi P_{ave}} \right)^k \frac{\partial^{k+1} \left[S^{(2)}[\Psi_\omega(z)] (S^{(1)}[\Psi_\omega(z)])^{k-1} \right]}{\partial \tilde{\gamma}^{k+1}}, \quad k \geq 1, \quad (14)$$

where $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma PLW/(2\pi)$, and

$$S^{(0)}[\Psi_\omega(z)] = \int_0^L dz \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left| \mathcal{L}^{(0)}[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)] \right|^2, \quad (15)$$

$$S^{(1)}[\Psi_\omega(z)] = 2 \int_0^L dz \int_{W'} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \text{Re} \left\{ \mathcal{L}^{(0)}[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)] \bar{V}[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)] \right\} = 2 \int_0^L \frac{dz}{L} \int_{W'} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \text{Re} \left\{ e^{i\beta\omega^2 z} B(\omega) \bar{V}[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)] \right\}, \quad (16)$$

$$S^{(2)}[\Psi_\omega(z)] = \int_0^L dz \int_{W'} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left[\left| \mathcal{L}^{(0)}[\Psi_\omega^{(1)}(z)] - \bar{V}[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)] \right|^2 - 2 \text{Re} \left\{ \mathcal{L}^{(0)}[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)] \bar{V}_1[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z), \Psi_\omega^{(1)}(z)] \right\} \right]. \quad (17)$$

where $V_1[\Psi, \psi]$ is defined below: see Eq. (20). Here in (16) and (17) we have used that $2 \int dz \text{Re} \left\{ \mathcal{L}^{(0)}[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)] \bar{\mathcal{L}}^{(0)}[\Psi_\omega^{(n)}(z)] \right\} = 0$ by virtue of the boundary conditions: $\Psi_\omega^{(n)}(0) = \Psi_\omega^{(n)}(L) = 0$.

To calculate the path-integral in Eq. (1) we substitute the solution $\Psi_\omega(z)$ to the action. Here we are interested in only leading order in ϵ terms, therefore we keep only quadratic in $\tilde{\psi}_\omega(z)$ term in the difference $S[\Psi_\omega(z) + \tilde{\psi}_\omega(z)] - S[\Psi_\omega(z)]$ since terms with higher order in $\tilde{\psi}_\omega(z)$ are suppressed in the parameter ϵ . In the leading order in ϵ the path-integral can be written in the form:

$$\int_{\tilde{\psi}_\omega(0)=0}^{\tilde{\psi}_\omega(L)=0} \mathcal{D}\tilde{\psi} e^{-(S[\Psi_\omega(z) + \tilde{\psi}_\omega(z)] - S[\Psi_\omega(z)]) / Q} \approx \int_{\tilde{\psi}_\omega(0)=0}^{\tilde{\psi}_\omega(L)=0} \mathcal{D}\tilde{\psi} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{Q} \int_0^L dz \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} |\mathcal{L}^{(0)}[\tilde{\psi}]|^2 \right\} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\Delta S[\Psi, \tilde{\psi}]}{Q} \right\}, \quad (18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta S[\Psi, \tilde{\psi}] = & \int_0^L dz \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left[\left| V_1[\Psi, \tilde{\psi}] + V_2[\Psi, \tilde{\psi}] + V[\tilde{\psi}] \right|^2 - \right. \\ & \left. 2Re \left\{ \mathcal{L}^{(0)}[\tilde{\psi}] \bar{V}_1[\Psi, \tilde{\psi}] + \left(\mathcal{L}[\Psi] + \mathcal{L}^{(0)}[\tilde{\psi}] \right) \left(\bar{V}_2[\Psi, \tilde{\psi}] + \bar{V}[\tilde{\psi}] \right) \right\} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

When deriving (19) we have used the equation of motion (6).

$$V_1[\Psi, \tilde{\psi}] = i\gamma \int \frac{d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3}{(2\pi)^2} \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_3 - \omega) \left(2\tilde{\psi}_{\omega_1}(z) \Psi_{\omega_2}(z) \bar{\Psi}_{\omega_3}(z) + \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{\omega_3}(z) \Psi_{\omega_1}(z) \Psi_{\omega_2}(z) \right), \quad (20)$$

$$V_2[\Psi, \tilde{\psi}] = i\gamma \int \frac{d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3}{(2\pi)^2} \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_3 - \omega) \left(2\tilde{\psi}_{\omega_1}(z) \Psi_{\omega_2}(z) \bar{\tilde{\psi}}_{\omega_3}(z) + \tilde{\psi}_{\omega_1}(z) \tilde{\psi}_{\omega_2}(z) \bar{\Psi}_{\omega_3}(z) \right). \quad (21)$$

The functional $\gamma_{p,0}$ has the form

$$\gamma_{p,0}[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)] = \frac{1}{P^{(0)}[0,0]} \int_{\tilde{\psi}_\omega(0)=0}^{\tilde{\psi}_\omega(L)=0} \mathcal{D}\tilde{\psi} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{Q} \int_0^L dz \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} |\mathcal{L}^{(0)}[\tilde{\psi}]|^2 \right\} \frac{1}{p!} \left(\frac{\partial^p}{\partial \tilde{\gamma}^p} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\Delta \tilde{S}[\Psi, \tilde{\psi}]}{Q} \right\} \right)_{\gamma=0}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\Delta \tilde{S}[\Psi, \tilde{\psi}] = \int_0^L dz \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left[\left| V_1[\Psi, \tilde{\psi}] \right|^2 - 2Re \left\{ \mathcal{L}^{(0)}[\tilde{\psi}] \bar{V}_1[\Psi, \tilde{\psi}] + \mathcal{L}[\Psi] \bar{V}_2[\Psi, \tilde{\psi}] \right\} \right]. \quad (23)$$

The function $P^{(0)}[Y|X]$ has the form, see Ref.[1]:

$$P^{(0)}[Y|X] = \Lambda_{QL}^{(M')} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{QL} \int_{W'} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} |B(\omega)|^2 \right], \quad (24)$$

$$\Lambda_D^{(M')} = \left(\frac{\delta}{\pi D} \right)^{M'}. \quad (25)$$

We need only $\gamma_{1,0}$ and $\gamma_{2,0}$ for our calculation. To calculate these functionals we use method developed in [1]. Direct calculation of $\gamma_{1,0}$ gives:

$$\gamma_{1,0}[\Psi^{(0)}(z)] = \frac{2W'}{\pi L P_{ave}} Im \left\{ \int_0^L dz \frac{z(L-z)}{L} \int_{W'} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \mathcal{L}^{(0)}[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)] \bar{\Psi}_\omega^{(0)}(z) \right\}. \quad (26)$$

Using Eqs. (13)–(14) and (22) we can write conditional probability density function with accuracy $\tilde{\gamma}^2$ in the form:

$$P[Y(\omega)|X(\omega)] \approx P^{(0)}[Y(\omega)|X(\omega)] \left(1 + \alpha^{(1)}[Y|X] + \alpha^{(2)}[Y|X] \right), \quad (27)$$

where we introduce following notations:

$$\alpha^{(1)}[Y|X] = \alpha_{0,1} \left[\Psi^{(0)}(z) \right] \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{\epsilon} + \gamma_{1,0} \left[\Psi^{(0)}(z) \right] \tilde{\gamma}, \quad (28)$$

$$\alpha^{(2)}[Y|X] = \alpha_{0,2} \left[\Psi^{(0)}(z) \right] \frac{\tilde{\gamma}^2}{\epsilon^2} + \left\{ \alpha_{1,1} \left[\Psi^{(0)}(z) \right] + \alpha_{0,1} \left[\Psi^{(0)}(z) \right] \gamma_{1,0} \left[\Psi^{(0)}(z) \right] \right\} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}^2}{\epsilon} + \gamma_{2,0} \left[\Psi^{(0)}(z) \right] \tilde{\gamma}^2. \quad (29)$$

We note that from Eq. (13) one has

$$\alpha_{0,2} \left[\Psi^{(0)}(z) \right] = \left(\alpha_{0,1} \left[\Psi^{(0)}(z) \right] \right)^2 / 2. \quad (30)$$

Now we are ready to calculate the mutual information, see Eq. (2) of [2], with accuracy $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\gamma}^2)$.

CALCULATION OF THE MUTUAL INFORMATION

To calculate the mutual information

$$I_{P[X]} = \int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}Y P[X] P[Y|X] \log \left[\frac{P[Y|X]}{P_{out}[Y]} \right], \quad (31)$$

let us first present the auxiliary correlation functions:

$$\langle B(\omega) \bar{B}(\omega') \rangle_{P^{(0)}[Y|X]} = \int \mathcal{D}Y P^{(0)}[Y|X] B(\omega) \bar{B}(\omega') = 2\pi Q L \delta(\omega - \omega'), \quad (32)$$

$$\langle X(\omega) \bar{X}(\omega') \rangle_{P[X]} = \int \mathcal{D}X P[X] X(\omega) \bar{X}(\omega') = 2\pi P \delta(\omega - \omega') \chi_W(\omega) \chi_W(\omega'), \quad (33)$$

where we've used as the integration weights PDF $P^{(0)}[Y|X]$, see (24), and the input signal PDF $P[X]$ of the form

$$P[X(\omega)] = \Lambda_P^{(M)} \left(\prod_{i \in W} e^{-\frac{\delta}{P} |X_i|^2} \right) \prod_{j \in W' \setminus W} \delta(X_j). \quad (34)$$

see Ref.[2]. Here $\delta(X_j) = \delta(\text{Re } X_j) \delta(\text{Im } X_j)$ is the δ -function, frequency domain W (W') is divided by M (M') grid spacing $\delta = \frac{W}{2\pi M} = \frac{W'}{2\pi M'}$; $X_j = X(\omega_j)$. The measure $\mathcal{D}X$ reads $\mathcal{D}X = \prod_{j=1}^{M'} d\text{Re } X_j d\text{Im } X_j$, and $\chi_W(\omega) = \theta(\frac{W}{2} - \omega) \theta(\frac{W}{2} + \omega)$ stands for the indicator of the (cyclic) frequency domain W in Eq. (33). Equations (32) and (33) can be easily obtained using discrete form of path-integrals. Since functions $P^{(0)}[Y|X] = P^{(0)}[B]$ and $P[X]$ have Gaussian form, we can use the Wick theorem [4]:

$$\langle B(\omega_1) \rangle_{P^{(0)}[B]} = 0, \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B(\omega_1) B(\omega_2) \bar{B}(\omega_3) \bar{B}(\omega_4) \rangle_{P^{(0)}[B]} &= \langle B(\omega_1) \bar{B}(\omega_3) \rangle_{P^{(0)}[B]} \langle B(\omega_2) \bar{B}(\omega_4) \rangle_{P^{(0)}[B]} + \\ &\quad \langle B(\omega_1) \bar{B}(\omega_4) \rangle_{P^{(0)}[B]} \langle B(\omega_2) \bar{B}(\omega_3) \rangle_{P^{(0)}[B]}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Averaging $\langle \dots \rangle_{P[X]}$ has the same properties. Using these properties and Eqs. (32), (33), the calculation of the mutual information with accuracy $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\gamma}^2)$ turns to the simple calculation of the correlation functions. Note, that now $P_{ave} = PW/(2\pi) \gg P_{noise} = QLW/(2\pi)$, nonlinearity parameter $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma LPW/(2\pi)$, and $\text{SNR} = 1/\epsilon = P/(QL)$.

Cancellation of the leading corrections in $1/\epsilon$

Let us show that all leading corrections in $1/\epsilon$, i.e. the corrections of order of $(\tilde{\gamma}/\epsilon)^k$, to the mutual information are equal to zero for all $k > 0$. To show that, we substitute expression (27) to Eq. (31) and change the integration variables from $Y(\omega)$ to $B(\omega)$. The function $P^{(0)}[Y(\omega)|X(\omega)]$, see Eq. (24), depends only on $B(\omega)$ and has a variation scale of order $\sqrt{QL}$, see Eq. (32), the scale of variation of function $P[X(\omega)]$ is P , which obeys the condition $P \gg QL$, and the correction of order of $(\tilde{\gamma}/\epsilon)^k$ comes from the coefficients $\alpha_{0,k}$, but these coefficients $\alpha_{0,k}$ are explicitly proportional to $B^k(\omega)$, see Eqs. (13), (16). In what follows all terms with odd powers $B(\omega)$ give zero after integration over $B(\omega)$, while terms proportional to even powers $B^k(\omega)$ (with equal number of the fields B and conjugated fields $\bar{B}$), after integration over $B(\omega)$, contribute proportionally to factor $(QL)^k \propto \epsilon^k$: additional conjugated fields $\bar{B}$ come from $\bar{V}[\Psi_\omega^{(0)}(z)]$, see Eq. (16). It reduces the power of ϵ in the denominator. Therefore, all corrections to the mutual information having the form of a power of $\tilde{\gamma}/\epsilon$ disappear.

Cancellation of the corrections of order of $\tilde{\gamma}$

It is easy to see that all corrections to the mutual information of order of $\tilde{\gamma}$ are equal to zero. These corrections come from the term $\gamma_{1,0}\tilde{\gamma}$ in Eq. (28). Using the explicit expression (26) one can see that this contribution vanishes after the integration over $B(\omega)$ either as the imaginary part of the real number or as the odd power of $B(\omega)$.

Cancellation of the sub-leading corrections of order of $\tilde{\gamma}^2/\epsilon$

To calculate the corrections connected with channel nonlinearity we substitute the expression (27) to Eq. (31) and obtain the following expansion with accuracy $\tilde{\gamma}^2$ for the mutual information:

$$I_{P[X]} \approx \int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}Y P[X] P^{(0)}[Y|X] \left\{ \log \left[\frac{P^{(0)}[Y|X]}{P_{out}^{(0)}[Y]} \right] \left(1 + \alpha^{(1)}[Y|X] + \alpha^{(2)}[Y|X] \right) + \frac{(\alpha^{(1)}[Y|X])^2 - (\beta^{(1)}[Y])^2}{2} \right\} \quad (37)$$

where $\alpha^{(1)}[Y|X]$ is defined in Eq. (28), and

$$P_{out}^{(0)}[Y] = \int \mathcal{D}X P[X] P^{(0)}[Y|X], \quad (38)$$

$$\beta^{(1,2)}[Y(\omega)] = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}X P[X] P^{(0)}[Y|X] \alpha^{(1,2)}[Y|X]}{P_{out}^{(0)}[Y]}. \quad (39)$$

The direct calculation of $P_{out}^{(0)}[Y]$ and $\beta^{(1)}[Y(\omega)]$ gives

$$P_{out}^{(0)}[Y] = \Lambda_{QL}^{(M'-M)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{QL} \int_{W' \setminus W} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} |Y(\omega)|^2 \right\} \Lambda_{P+QL}^{(M)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{P+QL} \int_W \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} |Y(\omega)|^2 \right\}. \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^{(1)}[Y] &= \frac{2\gamma P}{QL(P+QL)} \int_0^L dz \int_{W'} \frac{d\omega d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3}{(2\pi)^3} \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_3 - \omega) \frac{e^{\mu(z/L-1)}}{2i} Y(\omega) Y(\omega_3) \bar{Y}(\omega_1) \bar{Y}(\omega_2) \times \\ &\quad \left\{ \chi_{W' \setminus W}(\omega) \chi_W(\omega_1) - \chi_{W' \setminus W}(\omega_1) \chi_W(\omega) \right\} \left[\chi_{W' \setminus W}(\omega_2) \frac{z}{L} + \chi_W(\omega_2) \left(1 + \frac{QL}{P+QL} (z/L - 1) \right) \right] \times \\ &\quad \left[\chi_{W' \setminus W}(\omega_3) \frac{z}{L} + \chi_W(\omega_3) \left(1 + \frac{QL}{P+QL} (z/L - 1) \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where $\mu = i\beta L(\omega^2 + \omega_3^2 - \omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2)$, $\chi_W(\omega) = \theta(\frac{W}{2} - \omega)\theta(\frac{W}{2} + \omega)$, and $\chi_{W' \setminus W}(\omega) = \chi_{W'}(\omega) - \chi_W(\omega)$. Note that if $W' = W$ then $\beta^{(1)}[Y]$ vanishes. We remind that W' is auxiliary bandwidth containing the bandwidth W where signal $X(\omega)$ is not zero, see Eq. (34).

The output PDF (40) results in the following pairing on the analogy of Eqs. (32), (33):

$$\langle Y(\omega)\bar{Y}(\omega') \rangle_{P_{out}^{(0)}[Y]} = \int \mathcal{D}Y P_{out}^{(0)}[Y] Y(\omega)\bar{Y}(\omega') = 2\pi\delta(\omega - \omega') \{QL\chi_{W'\setminus W}(\omega) + (P + QL)\chi_W(\omega)\}. \quad (42)$$

We present the expression (37) for the mutual information in the form:

$$I_{P[X]} = I_0 + I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \quad (43)$$

here

$$I_0 = M \log \left[1 + \frac{P}{QL} \right] \int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}Y P[X] P^{(0)}[Y|X] \left\{ 1 + \alpha^{(1)}[Y|X] + \alpha^{(2)}[Y|X] \right\}, \quad (44)$$

where the logarithm $\log \left[1 + \frac{P}{QL} \right]$ occurs from the normalization factors (25) in Eqs. (24) and (40).

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}Y P[X] P^{(0)}[Y|X] \left(\alpha^{(1)}[Y|X] \right)^2, \quad (45)$$

$$I_2 = \int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}Y P[X] P^{(0)}[Y|X] \int_{W'} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\chi_W(\omega)}{P + QL} |Y(\omega)|^2 + \frac{\chi_{W'\setminus W}(\omega)}{QL} |Y(\omega)|^2 - \frac{|B(\omega)|^2}{QL} \right) \times \quad (46)$$

$$\left\{ 1 + \alpha^{(1)}[Y|X] + \alpha^{(2)}[Y|X] \right\}, \quad (47)$$

$$I_3 = -\frac{1}{2} \int \mathcal{D}Y P_{out}^{(0)}[Y] \left(\beta^{(1)}[Y] \right)^2. \quad (48)$$

Here the terms (44) and (47) come from the term in (37) which is proportional to $\log \left[P^{(0)}[Y|X]/P_{out}^{(0)}[Y] \right]$.

For the simplicity of the result presentation we assume that $W' = W$, and therefore $\beta^{(1)}[Y]$, see Eq. (41), vanishes. This assumption kills the contribution I_3 , see Eq. (48).

The direct calculation of I_0 shows that path-integral

$$\int \mathcal{D}X \mathcal{D}Y P[X] P^{(0)}[Y|X] \left\{ \alpha^{(1)}[Y|X] + \alpha^{(2)}[Y|X] \right\} = 0. \quad (49)$$

It is the consequence of the normalization condition ($\int \mathcal{D}Y P[Y|X] = 1$) for the conditional probability function $P[Y|X]$:

$$\int \mathcal{D}Y P[Y|X] = 1 \quad (50)$$

and therefore

$$\int \mathcal{D}Y P^{(0)}[Y(\omega)|X(\omega)] \alpha^{(1,2)}[Y|X] = 0. \quad (51)$$

Thus one has the result

$$I_0 = C_{SH} = M \log \left[1 + \frac{P}{QL} \right], \quad (52)$$

which coincides with the classical result for the linear channel capacity C_{SH} (Shannon-Hartley theorem [3]).

Now we proceed to calculate the singular terms (of order of $\tilde{\gamma}^2/\epsilon$) in the contribution I_1 , see Eq. (45). In the beginning we change the integration variable from $Y(\omega)$ to $B(\omega) = e^{-i\beta\omega^2 L} Y(\omega) - X(\omega)$ in the path-integral (45). Every pairing (32) results in extra Q -suppressed factor. That is why the most singular term of order of $\tilde{\gamma}^2/\epsilon$ emerges from one pairing (32) when we retain only the first term (singular in ϵ) in (28) and take only the linear in $B(\omega)$ part of this term. After the pairing (32) we perform the straightforward integration over X in the path-integral (45)

using the correlator (33) and Wick theorem. Finally, we present the result of the calculation of the term I_1 in the form:

$$I_1 = 4MG(\tilde{\beta})\frac{\tilde{\gamma}^2}{\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\gamma}^2), \quad (53)$$

where the function $G(\tilde{\beta})$ of dimensionless dispersion parameter $\tilde{\beta} = \beta LW^2$ is defined as

$$G(\tilde{\beta}) = 1 + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\beta}^2} \int_{\Omega} dy dy_1 dy_2 \frac{\sin^2[\tilde{\beta}(y - y_1)(y - y_2)]}{(y - y_1)^2(y - y_2)^2}, \quad (54)$$

with $\Omega = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ being a simple cubic region.

The next contribution to $I_{P[X]}$ is I_2 . When following the singular in ϵ terms we can neglect the first term in the parenthesis in Eq. (47). We omit the second term in the parenthesis in Eq. (47) as well since in the current consideration we assume $W' = W$. Now we change the integration variables from $Y(\omega)$ to $B(\omega)$. And for the third term in the parenthesis there are two possible pairing of the type (32). The first Q -unsuppressed term (i.e. the term of order of $\tilde{\gamma}^2/\epsilon$) originates from the inner pairing (i.e. integration over B) of $|B(\omega)|^2$ -term:

$$\frac{1}{QL} \int_{W'} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \langle |B(\omega)|^2 \rangle_{P^{(0)}[Y|X]} = M'.$$

However, for this case $\alpha^{(1,2)}[Y|X]$ contributions from the brace in Eq. (47) vanish after integration over X owing to the normalization condition (51). The second Q -unsuppressed term comes from two pairings of the field $B(\omega)$ from the parenthesis with the first part of $\alpha^{(2)}[Y|X]$ in the brace, see the first term (of order of $\tilde{\gamma}^2/\epsilon^2$) in Eq. (29). These pairings, see Eq. (30) and (45), result in the same contributions as in Eq. (53) but with the opposite sign in the singular term $\tilde{\gamma}^2/\epsilon$. And one has with accuracy $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\gamma}^2)$:

$$I_2 = -4MG(\tilde{\beta})\frac{\tilde{\gamma}^2}{\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\gamma}^2). \quad (55)$$

The last component I_3 of $I_{P[X]}$ is zero (in considered case $W' = W$):

$$I_3 = -\frac{1}{2} \int \mathcal{D}Y P_{out}^{(0)}[Y] \left(\beta^{(1)}[Y] \right)^2 = 0. \quad (56)$$

Finally, all singular terms of order of $\tilde{\gamma}^2/\epsilon$ are cancelled, and in the expression for the spectral efficiency ($i_{P[X]}$) we obtain Shannon's logarithm with the corrections $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\gamma}^2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} i_{P[X]} &= \frac{I_{P[X]}}{M} \approx \log \left[1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\gamma}^2) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) = \\ &\log \left[1 + \text{SNR} \right] + \text{SNR}^2 \times \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2 L^2 P_{noise}^2) + \mathcal{O}(1/\text{SNR}). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Note that the cancellation of the terms of order of $\tilde{\gamma}^2/\epsilon$ and our result (57) hold true in general case when $W' \supset W$. However in this case the cancellation is much more intricate. For example, in this case the term I_3 , see Eq. (48), does contribute in the order $\tilde{\gamma}^2/\epsilon$. The cancellation takes place within the expression for the output signal entropy $H[Y]$ (we remind that $I_{P[X]} = H[Y] - H[Y|X]$) that can be written with γ^2 accuracy in the form

$$\begin{aligned} H[Y] &= M - \log \Lambda_{P+QL}^{(M)} + (M' - M) - \log \Lambda_{QL}^{(M'-M)} - \\ &\int \mathcal{D}Y P_{out}^{(0)}[Y] \left\{ \left(\beta^{(1)}[Y] + \beta^{(2)}[Y] \right) \left[-\frac{1}{QL} \int_{W' \setminus W} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} |Y(\omega)|^2 - \frac{1}{P+QL} \int_W \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} |Y(\omega)|^2 \right] + \frac{\beta^{(1)}[Y]^2}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

We checked directly the cancellation of the contributions of order of $\tilde{\gamma}^2/\epsilon$ in the mutual information in the case when $W' \supset W$ by the computer algebra methods using the Wick theorem and the correlators (33), (32), and (42).

In our further considerations we will calculate the first nonvanishing corrections to the mutual information of order of $\tilde{\gamma}^2$, see [5].

* E-mail: I.S.Terekhov@gmail.com

[†] E-mail: A.V.Reznichenko@inp.nsk.su

[‡] E-mail: s.k.turitsyn@aston.ac.uk

- [1] I. S. Terekhov, S. S. Vergeles, and S. K. Turitsyn, *Method of conditional probability calculations for the nonlinear Shrödinger equation with additive noise*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 230602 (2014).
- [2] I. S. Terekhov, A. V. Reznichenko, and S. K. Turitsyn, “Mutual information in nonlinear communication channel: Preliminary analytical results in large SNR and small nonlinearity limit”.
- [3] C. Shannon, *A mathematical theory of communication*, Bell System Techn. J., **27** (1948), 3, 379–423; **27** (1948), 4, 623–656.
- [4] C. Itzykson, J.B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory*, McGraw-Hill (1980).
- [5] I. S. Terekhov, A. V. Reznichenko, and S. K. Turitsyn, arXiv: 1607.01184.